

2.  
9/28/2006

**MINUTES**  
**August 3, 2006**

Present Robin Lowe, Chair  
Robert E. Hird, Vice-Chair  
Bob Buster  
Terry Henderson  
Ron Starrs  
John Tavaglione  
Phil Williams

Present Staff: George J. Spiliotis, Executive Officer  
Wayne Fowler, Senior Government Analyst  
Adriana Romo, Local Government Analyst II  
Crystal Craig, Local Government Analyst II  
Elena Medina, Executive Assistant II  
Joe Rank, Legal Counsel

**1.1 CALL TO ORDER AND SALUTE TO THE FLAG.**

The meeting was called to order by Chair Lowe at 9:35 a.m.

**1.2 ROLL CALL.**

**2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JUNE 22, 2006.**

Minutes were approved as presented.

**3. Consent (Non-Hearing Items):**

- a. LAFCO 2006-71-3-Reorganization to Include Annexation 103 to County Service Area 103 and Concurrent Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to approve LAFCO 2006-71-3-Reorganization to Include Annexation 103 to County Service Area 103 and Concurrent Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District as recommended by staff.

7/0

- b. LAFCO 2006-73-3-Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District. (**Staff recommends continuance to September 28, 2006**)

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to continue LAFCO 2006-73-3-Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District to September 28, 2006.

7/0

- c. LAFCO 2006-76-1-Annexation 29 to County Service Area 132.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to approve LAFCO 2006-76-1-Annexation 29 to County Service Area 132 as recommended by staff.  
7/0

- d. LAFCO 2006-78-5-Annexation 7 to County Service Area 43.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to approve LAFCO 2006-78-5-Annexation 7 to County Service Area 43 as recommended by staff.  
7/0

- e. LAFCO 2006-80-1-Annexation 104 to County Service Area 103.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to approve LAFCO 2006-80-1-Annexation 104 to County Service Area 103 as recommended by staff.  
7/0

- f. LAFCO 2006-82-3 Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to approve LAFCO 2006-82-3 Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District.  
5/0/2 (Buster and Tavaglione did not participate)

**4. HEARINGS:**

**Continued:**

- a. LAFCO 2005-10-1,2,3,5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-Northwest Mosquito & Vector Control District.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2005-10-1,2,3,5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-Northwest Mosquito & Vector Control District to October 26, 2006.  
7/0

- b. LAFCO 2005-11-3,4,5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-Coachella Valley Mosquito and Vector Control District.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2005-11-3,4,5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-Coachella Valley Mosquito and Vector Control District to October 26, 2006.  
7/0

- c. LAFCO 2005-17-1,2&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - City of Riverside.

Mr. Fowler stated that staff was asking the Commission to review the location of the sphere line on the map presented to the Commission and direct staff on any possible corrections. Mr. Fowler also stated that staff was asking to continue this item until the October 26, 2006 LAFCO hearing to allow time for the Commission to adopt the

Initial Study, Statement of Determinations and formally adopt the Sphere of Influence boundaries.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing.

Ed Adkison, City of Riverside, 3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92507. Mr. Adkison discussed the City of Riverside's desires regarding their sphere of influence.

Gary Grant, 27068 Jarvis St., Perris, CA 92570. Mr. Grant discussed the City of Riverside's land use and general plan.

Commissioner Buster stated that the City of Riverside was actively working towards reducing their sphere of influence. He said that he will continue to work with Mr. Adkison and City/County staff on this matter.

Mr. Spiliotis asked if staff could start preparing the Initial Study based on the tentative boundaries.

Commissioner Henderson asked if the lines could be refined to approximate the City's ridgeline.

Mr. Spiliotis stated that staff will refine the lines with the City approximating the ridgeline. He also said that the environmental assessment will be prepared on that basis.

Commissioner Williams discussed the line going through El Sobrante. He said that regarding future expansion they were going to have some flexibility there.

Moved (Buster) seconded (Henderson) to continue LAFCO 2005-17-1,2&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - City of Riverside to October 26, 2006.

- d. LAFCO 2005-64-3-Reorganization to Include the Formation of Desert River Community Services District and Dissolution of County Service Area 62 and 122.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to continue LAFCO 2005-64-3-Reorganization to Include the Formation of Desert River Community Services District and Dissolution of County Service Area 62 and 122 to September 28, 2006.

- e. LAFCO 2005-102-1,2&3-Consolidation Study-Elsinore-Murrieta-Anza, Riverside-Corona and San Jacinto Basin Resources Conservation Districts.

Ms. Craig presented the consolidation report. She said that representatives from the three districts had agreed to the adjustments depicted on the map. She further stated that staff was recommending a two-month continuance to October 26, 2006, to allow time for the Districts to take the map of the proposed boundary adjustments to their respective boards for final approval. She also said that the intent of the parties was to return at a future date

with a proposed reorganization consistent with the agreed-upon changes.

Vicky Long-EMARCD, 40390 Via Caballos, Murrieta, CA 92562. Ms. Long expressed her support for the recommended boundary changes and was available for any questions.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Starrs) to continue LAFCO 2005-102-1,2&3-Consolidation Study-Elsinore-Murrieta-Anza, Riverside-Corona and San Jacinto Basin Resources Conservation Districts to October 26, 2006.

7/0

The Commission decided to take Item 9. at this time.

**9. STATUS REPORT ON PORTION OF VISTA SANTA ROSA NORTH OF AVENUE 52 WHICH WAS NOT INCLUDED WITHIN ANY CITY OF SPHERE OF INFLUENCE.**

Mr. Fowler presented the report and stated that many of the landowners were expressing a desire to be within the sphere of the City of Coachella so that their development could be served by the City with water and sewer services. He said that the next time the Commission sees the area it would be when one of the Cities submits an application to amend their sphere. He said that no further action was required of the Commission at this time.

Commissioner Henderson asked if staff's position will be that the application should be for the entire area or for just portions and pieces thereof. Mr. Fowler responded that it would be for the entire area.

David Brudvik, Ocotillo Builders, 77-435 Calle Colima, La Quinta, CA 92253. Mr. Brudvik expressed his desire to be part of the City of Coachella.

John Gamlin, P. O. Box 1540, City of La Quinta, CA 92247. Mr. Gamlin stated that he was representing the Bremer Family Trust. Mr. Gamlin expressed the Bremer Family's desire to be part of the City of Coachella.

Sean Moore, City of Indio. Mr. Moore stated that many residents of the area had expressed interest in discussing the possibility of being part of the City of Indio.

Juan Munoz, 83-155 Avenue 50, Vista Santa Rosa, North Avenue 52. Mr. Munoz expressed his desire to be part of the City of Indio's sphere of Influence.

Commissioner Henderson clarified that the next time this matter will be before them will be by virtue of an application from either City that will address the entire area.

Mr. Fowler said that if the City of Indio brought in an application it may include an area greater than had been discussed.

f. LAFCO 2005-103-1-Consolidation Study-Elsinore Valley and Wildomar Cemetery Districts.

Commissioner Williams asked for a delay of this item to one or two years. He said that if one of the benefits of Consolidation was financial, that they should include the Temecula Cemetery District as well.

Mr. Spiliotis presented the report. He said that Wildomar and Elsinore Valley Cemetery Districts were among the highest in the County for burial cost. He said that staff was recommending that the Commission initiate consolidation of the two Districts and once they were initiated, staff's intention was to retain a consultant with expertise in the public cemetery field to assist with a detailed analysis to determine if these potential benefits can be achieved. He also said that if the Commission ultimately approved a consolidation, the final decision will be made by the registered voters within the affected districts. He said that since the proposal was initiated by the Commission, the protest threshold to force an election was even lower, at 10% instead of at 25%, than if the Districts would have initiated the consolidation.

Commissioner Williams said that he appreciated staff's point of view, but felt that the community was not going to be in support of this.

Commissioner Buster said that by consolidating, the districts will gain some strength to deal with some of the issues at hand without sacrificing their sense of identity.

Chair Lowe closed the public hearing.

Moved (Lowe) seconded (Buster) to approve LAFCO 2005-103-1-Consolidation Study - Elsinore Valley and Wildomar Cemetery Districts and adopt the attached resolution initiating consolidation of the Elsinore Valley and Wildomar Cemetery Districts.

6/1 (Williams did not participate)

- g. LAFCO 2006-12-2-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 21-Coronita.

Moved (Lowe) seconded (Starrs) to amend LAFCO 2006-12-2-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 21-Coronita's from its current coterminous Sphere of Influence to a "zero" sphere of influence.

7/0

- h. LAFCO 2006-31-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 124 - Warm Springs.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Starrs) to determine that LAFCO 2006-31-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 124 - Warm Springs sphere of influence is adequate at this time.

7/0

- i. LAFCO 2006-32-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments -San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency.

- j. LAFCO 2006-33-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Yucaipa Valley Water District.
- k. LAFCO 2006-34-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - High Valleys Water District.
- l. LAFCO 2006-35-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District.
- m. LAFCO 2006-36-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Cabazon County Water District.

Ms. Romo presented items i.j.k.l.m. as outlined in the report and asked the Commission to approve the recommendations stated for each district.

Mr. Spiliotis stated that the recommendations were based on the current authorized services.

Commissioner Buster stated that Supervisor Ashley had briefed the Board on the emergency ground water issues with regard to septic tanks. He said that the provision of sewer services in the area was going to become extremely important.

Commissioner Hird asked if the Morongo Reservation had water rights to the area. Ms. Romo responded that they do have water rights for a small portion of the Whitewater River along the detachment area.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing.

Jack Nelson, Asst. Gen. Mgr., 12770 Second Street, Yucaipa Valley Water District. Mr. Nelson expressed full support from the District for staff's recommendation.

Loren Borstein, 2730 Wilshire Blvd. #300, Santa Monica, CA 90403. Mr. Borstein said that they were in support of being within Yucaipa Valley Water District.

Chair Lowe closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Henderson asked if the Commission had heard anything that was adverse to staff's recommendation. The Commission responded in the negative. Commissioner Henderson moved to approve all items included in the staff report. Commissioner Hird seconded the motion.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to amend LAFCO 2006-32-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency to include Areas A, B, and C as described on the map.

7/0

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to amend LAFCO 2006-33-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments -Yucaipa Valley Water District to include Areas J and K as described on the map.

7/0

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to amend LAFCO 2006-34-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments -High Valleys Water District to be coterminous to the agency's existing service area.  
7/0

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to amend LAFCO 2006-35-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments -Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District to include portions of parcels 22 and 35 (LAFCO 2004-48-4) currently not within the District's sphere and remove Area K as outlined on the map.  
7/0

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to amend LAFCO 2006-36-5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments -Cabazon County Water District to include Area M and remove Area N as depicted on the map.  
7/0

- n. LAFCO 2006-38-1-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-County Service Area 113 - Woodcrest.
- p. LAFCO 2006-40-1-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-County Service Area 87 - Woodcrest.

Mr. Fowler presented the sphere reviews and asked the Commission to approve per staff's recommendation.

Moved (Williams) seconded (Tavaglione) to amend LAFCO 2006-38-1-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - CSA 113 (Woodcrest) from its current countywide sphere of influence to a coterminous sphere of influence.  
7/0

Moved (Williams) seconded (Tavaglione) to amend LAFCO 2006-40-1 Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - CSA 87 (Woodcrest) from its current countywide sphere of influence to a coterminous sphere of influence.  
7/0

- o. LAFCO 2006-39-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 87 - Mecca.

Moved (Hird) seconded (Starrs) to amend LAFCO 2006-39-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 87 - Mecca from its current Countywide Sphere of Influence to a Sphere of Influence whose boundaries match the boundaries of the Riverside County Mecca Planning.  
7/0

- u. LAFCO 2006-53-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 125 - Thermal.

Moved (Hird) seconded (Starrs) to amend LAFCO 2006-53-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 125 - Thermal from its current Countywide Sphere of Influence to a "zero" Sphere of Influence.

- q. LAFCO 2006-44-5-Reorganization to Include Annexation 05-ANX-04 to the City of Beaumont and Concurrent Detachment from County Service Area 152 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

Ms. Craig presented the proposal as outlined in the report.

Ernie Egger, 550 E. Sixth St., Beaumont, CA 92223. Mr. Egger stated that the open space was created as a condition of approval for the development of the project. He said that the City's vision was to incorporate those areas in a larger open space belt in conjunction with the MSHCP Program that created a green belt corridor through the Badlands. He said that there were three parcels totaling 30 acres that the City would like to have for inclusion in the annexation. He said that this area connected the larger open space to the development area. He also said that one of the reasons the City would like this area included in the annexation was because this area had development potential. He addressed a letter included in their folders. He said that the letter addressed issues relative to the density of the project and its conformance with the City of Beaumont's general plan. He said that the annexation was consistent with the general plan which called for four units to the acre for the bulk of the property and two units to the acre for the southerly open space area. He said that all the issues of concern were adequately addressed and he was available for any questions.

David Hudson, Representing the applicant CRV-SC Beaumont Partners, LP., 8891 Research Drive, Irvine, CA 92618. Mr. Hudson stated that he was representing the applicant and was in agreement with staff's recommendation as amended, dropping the open space area. He asked the Commission that the 30 acres to the south be included in the annexation. He said that they had a project that they were currently working on and said that he was available for questions.

Robert Ferguson, Beaumont Citizens for Responsible Growth, 237 W. Fourth St., Claremont, CA 91711. Mr. Ferguson spoke in opposition to the annexation.

Nancy Hall, 13865 Bolo Court, Beaumont, CA 92223. Ms. Hall spoke in opposition to the annexation.

Judith Bingham, 115 Viele, Beaumont, CA 92223. Mr. Bingham spoke in opposition to the annexation.

Chair Lowe closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Buster commented that the City was allowing individual property owners to come forward with their applications. Commissioner Buster asked if the City was letting this to be the process rather than the City taking an active role in directing logical annexations. Mr. Egger stated that he disagreed with Commissioner Buster's comments on how the City did their planning. He said that the City worked collaboratively with the property owners.

Commissioner Henderson stated that based on all the information she heard today, she was in favor of supporting the annexation of the 36 acres of the

Tree Farm. She expressed concern with the additional 30 acres because that was outside of reasonable boundaries for a City. She said that this created challenges for the Commission in the future as well as the City. She said that her motion would be to approve the 36 acres into the City of Beaumont and have the rest come before the Commission at a later date.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Starrs) to approve LAFCO 2006-44-5-Reorganization to Include Annexation 05-ANX-04 to the City of Beaumont and Concurrent Detachment from County Service Area 152 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District with modified boundaries to exclude the 200 acres of open space.

6/1 (Buster No)

Chair Lowe and Commissioner Buster did not participate due to potential campaign contributions for Item 4.r. Vice-Chair Hird chaired this item.

r. LAFCO 2006-46-5-Reorganization to Include Annexation 42 to the City of Perris and Concurrent Detachments from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District and County Service Area 152.

Mr. Spiliotis presented the proposal as outlined in the staff report. Mr. Spiliotis stated that staff's recommendation was to approve the proposal conditioned upon an application to be submitted for the area shown on the map.

Richard Belmudez, City of Perris, 101 North D Street, Perris, CA 92570-1998. Mr. Belmudes stated that the City was in favor of staff's recommendation and stated that he was available for any questions.

Jeff Last, 32592 St. Highway 795, Temecula, CA 92592. Mr. Last stated that he was available to answer any questions.

Kristine Scott, 28075 Gerald Lane, Moreno Valley, CA 92555. Ms. Scott stated that she was available to answer any questions regarding the outreach packet given to the Commission.

Chair Hird closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Henderson motioned to adopt staff's recommendation.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Hird) to approve LAFCO 2006-46-5-Reorganization to Include Annexation 42 to the City of Perris and Concurrent Detachments from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District and County Service Area 152 as recommended by staff.

5/0/2 (Lowe and Buster did not participate)

The Commission recessed at 11:25 and reconvened at 11:35 a.m.

The Commission decided to take Item 8. at this time.

**8. STATUS REPORT ON SERVICE AREA AGREEMENT BETWEEN COACHELLA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT AND CITY OF COACHELLA.**

Mr. Spiliotis presented the status report as outlined in the staff report. He said that representatives of the two agencies had met several times to attempt to define water and wastewater service boundaries. He said that Management of the two agencies had tentatively agreed to service boundaries in much of the area of potential conflict. He said that at the end of the last meeting, the agencies were left at an impasse relative to this area. He said that it was his understanding that another meeting will be scheduled to discuss the last area. He said that the results of any meetings will be reported at the Commission meeting.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing.

Commissioner Henderson asked if the 20 acres that were previously approved were still on hold. Mr. Spiliotis responded that the two significant conditions were that they come in with an annexation of five parcels nearby and the execution of the agreement between the two agencies before the annexation could be completed.

Richard Hill Adams, 1111 N. Palm Canyon Drive, Palm Springs, CA. Mr. Adams stated that the five properties to the north had all of the signatures needed. He said that they were working with Dudek for the submission of the application. Mr. Adams requested the Commission to allow them to provide LAFCO with a two-part approval process. He said that the first part will be an agreement signed by the City and the District that will cover all of the areas that had been outlined on the map presented to the Commission. He said that they were proposing an agreement signed by the City and the District for those three quarters of the total area as the first part of their requirement allowing them at that point to file an application with LAFCO to move forward and record their map. He said that they were ready to start grading the project. He said that they were committed to continue to work with the City and the District to complete the final portion of the agreement. He said that they had a strong desire to honor their agreements. He said that they would like to be able to move forward and asked the Commission for the support and consideration in this matter.

Carmen Manriquez, representing the City of Coachella. Mr. Manriquez stated that there was new information regarding the five parcels north of Mr. Adams' annexation. He said that the City of Coachella's Planning Commission had recommended approval of the annexation. He said that this annexation will be presented to the City Council in approximately four weeks for their approval and thereafter, they will be submitting an application for annexation of those parcels to LAFCO.

Chair Lowe stated that this was only a status report therefore there was no action to be taken at this time.

Commissioner Henderson stated that the developer had presented a reasonable solution to this matter. Therefore, she asked if any action could be taken at this time. Mr. Spiliotis stated that because this was a status report, the Commission could not take action at this time. He said that the language of the condition itself was not definitive. He said that what he had been operating under in terms of clearing the condition was the discussion the Commission had where the word "global" was used many times. He said that the intent was for the agencies to agree once and for all.

Mr. Rank stated that staff was functioning on the assumption that was to include 100% not 75% nor 80% of the proposed area that needed to be resolved.

Mr. Spiliotis stated if there could be an agreement executed between the two parties resolving service responsibilities for a majority of the area, staff could bring that before the Commission to determine if that was substantial compliance with the condition.

Mr. Rank stated that to the extent that no one would challenge that concept; he would agree that would be a way to bring it before the Commission again and have the Commission determine that indeed this was sufficient to be able to satisfy what it was trying to accomplish.

- s. LAFCO 2006-51-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments- County Service Area 121 - Bermuda Dunes.

Moved (Hird) seconded (Starrs) to amend LAFCO 2006-51-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 121 - Bermuda Dunes from its current countywide sphere of influence to a sphere of influence whose boundaries match the communities of Bermuda Dunes, Del Webb, Palm Desert and Thousand Palms.

7/0

- t. LAFCO 2006-52-1-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 117 - Mead Valley.

Moved (Hird) seconded (Williams) to amend LAFCO 2006-52-1-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 117 - Mead Valley from its current coterminous Sphere of Influence to a sphere of Influence which incorporates additional territory which may when developed require service from CSA 117.

7/0

- v. LAFCO 2006-75-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 53 - Indio.

Moved (Hird) seconded (Williams) to amend LAFCO 2006-75-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 53 - Indio from its current Countywide Sphere of Influence to a Sphere of Influence whose boundaries match the unincorporated island surrounded by the City of Indio.

7/0

## 5. RECEIVE AND FILE:

- a. INFORMATION ITEMS: Proposals Received (Government Sections, 56857, 56751)

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Tavaglione) acknowledge receipt of the following proposals:

- i. LAFCO 2006-102-5 Annexation 6 to County Service Area 43 and Detachment from County Service Area 146.

- ii. LAFCO 2006-103-3 Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - County Service Area 146.
- iii. LAFCO 2006-104-5 Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Banning Library District.
- iv. LAFCO 2006-105-1&3 Sphere of Influence Amendment to the City of Lake Elsinore.
- v. LAFCO 2006-106-5 Reorganization to Include Annexation 05-ANX-03 to the City of Beaumont and Concurrent Detachments from County Service Area 152 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.
- vi. LAFCO 2006-107-3 Annexation 105 to County Service Area 103.
- vii. LAFCO 2006-108-1 Annexation 30 to County Service Area 132.
- viii. LAFCO 2006-109-1 Reorganization to Include Annexation 109 to the City of Riverside and Concurrent Detachments from County Service Area 113 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.
- ix. LAFCO 2006-110-3 Reorganization to Include Annexation 76 to County Service Area 84 and Concurrent Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation & Park District and Detachment from County Service Area 146.
- x. LAFCO 2006-111-3 Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexation to Rancho California Water District (ANX 100), Eastern Municipal Water District & the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (95th Fringe).

b. MONTHLY EXPENDITURE REVIEW.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Tavaglione) to receive and file the Monthly Expenditure Review report.

c. Independent Auditor's Report for Fiscal Year 2004-2005.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Tavaglione) to receive and file the Independent Auditor's Report for Fiscal Year 2004-2005.

**6. EXTENSION OF TIME FOR LAFCO 2005-38-3-REORGANIZATION TO INCLUDE CONCURRENT ANNEXATIONS TO EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT AND THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (82<sup>ND</sup> FRINGE)**

Moved (Buster) seconded (Hird) to approve Extension of Time for LAFCO 2005-38-3-Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexations to Eastern Municipal Water District and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (82<sup>nd</sup> Fringe).

**7. EXTENSION OF TIME FOR LAFCO 2005-39-3-REORGANIZATION TO INCLUDE CONCURRENT ANNEXATION TO EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT AND THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (80<sup>TH</sup> FRINGE).**

Moved (Buster) seconded (Hird) to approve Extension of Time for LAFCO 2005-38-3-Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexations to Eastern Municipal Water District and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (82<sup>nd</sup> Fringe).

7/0

**10. APPOINTMENT OF CALAFCO VOTING DELEGATE.**

Mr. Spiliotis stated that the CALAFCO by-laws required the designation of a voting delegate in advance of the meeting. After further discussion by the Commission, Commissioner Starrs was selected as the delegate and Chair Lowe as the alternate. He said that at the Conference they will be discussing the restructuring of the CALAFCO dues. He said that the organization had been improving a great deal, as well as the educational opportunities being offered.

**11. MISCELLANEOUS STAFF REPORTS.**

There were no Miscellaneous staff reports.

**12. PUBLIC COMMENTS.**

Garry Grant, 27068 Jarvis Street, Perris, CA 92570. Mr. Grant discussed the CSAs Spheres of Influence.

**13. ADJOURNMENT.**

The Commission adjourned at 12:03 p.m.

Respectfully,

George J. Spiliotis  
Executive Officer