

2.
9/27/2007

MINUTES
August 23, 2007

Present: Robin Lowe, Chair
Bob Buster
Terry Henderson
Al Lopez
Stephen Tomanelli
Phil Williams

Absent: Robert Hird and John Tavaglione

Present Staff: George J. Spiliotis, Executive Officer
Wayne Fowler, Senior Local Government Analyst
Adriana Romo, Local Government Analyst II
Crystal Craig, Local Government Analyst II
Elena Medina, Executive Assistant II
Joe Rank, Legal Counsel

1.1 CALL TO ORDER AND SALUTE TO THE FLAG.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Lowe at 9:35 a.m.

1.2 ROLL CALL.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JULY 12, 2007

Minutes were approved as presented.

3. Consent (Non-Hearing Items):

a. LAFCO 2007-07-3-Annexation 110 to County Service Area 103.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to approve LAFCO 2007-07-3-Annexation 110 to County Service Area 103 as recommended by staff.

6/0

b. LAFCO 2007-41-3-Reorganization to Include Annexation 79 to County Service Area 84 and Detachment from County Service Area 146.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to approve LAFCO 2007-41-3-Reorganization to Include Annexation 79 to County Service Area 84 and Detachment from County Service Area 146.

6/0

- c. LAFCO 2007-45-3- Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation & Park District.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to approve LAFCO 2007-45-3- Annexation to Valley-Wide Recreation and Park District.
5/0 (Buster abstained)

4. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

Continued:

- a. LAFCO 2005-10-1,2,&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments- Northwest Mosquito & Vector Control District (**Continued from July 12, 2007; Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007**).

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2005-10-1,2,&5- Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Northwest Mosquito & Vector Control District to September 27, 2007 as recommended by staff.
6/0

- b. LAFCO 2005-11-3,4&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Coachella Valley Mosquito & Vector Control District (**Continued from April 26, 2007; Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007**).

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2005-11-3,4&5- Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments - Coachella Valley Mosquito & Vector Control District to September 27, 2007 as recommended by staff.
6/0

- c. LAFCO 2005-18-1&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments- City of Lake Elsinore, the City of Corona, the Menifee Unincorporated Community and the Wildomar Unincorporated Community. (**Continued from July 12, 2007; Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007**).

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2005-18-1&5- Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-City of Lake Elsinore, the City of Corona, the Menifee Unincorporated Community and the Wildomar Unincorporated Community to September 27, 2007 as recommended by staff.
6/0

- d. LAFCO 2005-64-4-Reorganization to Include the Formation of Desert River Community Services District and Dissolution of County Service Area 62 and 122. (**Continued from July 12, 2007**)

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2005-64-4- Reorganization to Include the Formation of Desert River Community Services District and Dissolution of County Service Area 62 and 122 to September 27, 2007.
6/0

The Commission decided to take Items 4.f.g.h. at this time.

- f. LAFCO 2006-66-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-County Service Area 105 (Happy Valley/Indio Hills). **(Continued from July 12, 2007; Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007).**

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2006-66-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-County Service Area 105 (Happy Valley/Indio Hills) to September 27, 2007.

6/0

- g. LAFCO 2007-01-5-Sphere of Influence Amendment (addition) to the City of Desert Hot Springs and Reorganization to Include Annexation 34 (Watkins) to the City of Desert Hot Springs and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District. **(Continued from July 12, 2007; Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007).**

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2007-01-5-Sphere of Influence Amendment (addition) to the City of Desert Hot Springs and Reorganization to Include Annexation 34 (Watkins) to the City of Desert Hot Springs and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District to September 27, 2007 as recommended by staff.

6/0

- h. LAFCO 2007-06-4-Reorganization to Include Annexation 58 (Bowie) to the City of Coachella, Annexation to the Coachella Fire Protection District, (subsidiary) and Annexation to the Coachella Sanitary District (subsidiary) and Detachments from the Coachella Valley Water District, Southern Coachella Valley community Services District, County Service Area 125, the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District. **(Continued from July 12, 2007).**

Mr. Fowler presented the report.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to approve LAFCO 2007-06-4-Reorganization to Include Annexation 58 (Bowie) to the City of Coachella, Annexation to the Coachella Fire Protection District, (subsidiary) and Annexation to the Coachella Sanitary District (subsidiary) and Detachments from Southern Coachella Valley Community Services District, County Service Area 125, the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District as recommended by staff.

6/0

NEW:

- i. LAFCO 2006-23-3&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-City of San Jacinto. **(Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007).**

Moved (Williams) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2006-23-3&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-City of San Jacinto to September 27, 2007, as recommended by staff.

6/0

- j. LAFCO 2006-87-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-County Service Area 115 (Desert Hot Springs).

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Buster) seconded (Henderson) to approve LAFCO 2006-87-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-County Service Area 115 (Desert Hot Springs) as recommended by staff.

6/0

- k. LAFCO 2006-90-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-City of Rancho Mirage. **(Staff recommends continuance to October 25, 2007).**

Moved (Williams) seconded (Buster) to continue LAFCO 2006-90-4-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-City of Rancho Mirage to October 25, 2007 as recommended by staff.

6/0

- l. LAFCO 2007-14-4&5-Reorganization to Include Annexation (North I-10) to the City of Cathedral City and the Cathedral City Community Services District (subsidiary) and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

Mr. Fowler presented the proposal as outlined in the staff report.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Buster) seconded (Henderson) to approve LAFCO 2007-14-4&5-Reorganization to Include Annexation (North I-10) to the City of Cathedral City and the Cathedral City Community Services District (subsidiary) and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District as recommended by staff.

6/0

- m. LAFCO 2007-15-4-Reorganization to Include Annexation (Beckley) to the City of Cathedral City and the Cathedral City Community Services District (subsidiary) and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

Ms. Craig presented the proposal as outlined in the staff report.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to approve LAFCO 2007-15-4-Reorganization to Include Annexation (Beckley) to the City of Cathedral City and the Cathedral City Community Services District (subsidiary) and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District as recommended by staff.

6/0

- n. LAFCO 2007-30-1,2,3&5-Sphere of Influence Review and Potential Amendments-Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

Ms. Romo presented the report as outlined in the staff report.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to establish the sphere of influence for the Metropolitan Water District to correspond with Western Municipal Water District's sphere of influence to the west and Eastern Municipal Water District's sphere of influence to the east.

6/0

- o. LAFCO 2007-31-4-Sphere of Influence Amendment (addition) to the City of Indio and Reorganization to Include Annexation to the City of Indio (Citrus Ranch) and Concurrent Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resource Management District. **(Staff recommends continuance to September 27, 2007)**

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to continue LAFCO 2007-31-4-Sphere of Influence Amendment (addition) to the City of Indio and Reorganization to Include Annexation to the City of Indio (Citrus Ranch) and Concurrent Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resource Management District to September 27, 2007.

6/0

- p. LAFCO 2007-32-3-Sphere of Influence Amendments to the City of Lake Elsinore (addition), Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District (addition) and Removal from the Menifee Valley Unincorporated Community and Reorganization to Include Annexation 78 (Christensen) to the City of Lake Elsinore, Annexation to the Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

Mr. Spiliotis presented the proposal as outlined in the staff report.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Williams) seconded (Lopez) to approve LAFCO 2007-32-3-Sphere of Influence Amendments to the City of Lake Elsinore (addition), Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District (addition) and Removal from the Menifee Valley Unincorporated Community and Reorganization to Include Annexation 78 (Christensen) to the City of Lake Elsinore, Annexation to the Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

6/0

- q. LAFCO 2007-35-3-Reorganization to Include Annexation to the City of Murrieta, the Murrieta Community Services District, (subsidiary) and the Murrieta Fire Protection District (subsidiary) and Detachment from County Service Area 103 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

Mr. Fowler presented the proposal as outlined in the staff report.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Buster) to approve LAFCO 2007-35-3-Reorganization to Include Annexation to the City of Murrieta, the Murrieta Community Services District, (subsidiary) and the Murrieta Fire Protection District (subsidiary) and Detachment from County Service Area 103 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District as recommended by staff.

6/0

- r. LAFCO 2007-42-4-Annexation to Valley Sanitary District (Las Plumas West).

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing. There being no proponents or opponents wishing to speak the public hearing was closed.

Moved (Williams) seconded (Lopez) to approve LAFCO 2007-42-4-Annexation to Valley Sanitary District (Las Plumas West) as recommended by staff.

6/0

The Commission decided to take Item 13. at this time.

13. PUBLIC COMMENTS.

Richard Hill Adams, 1111 N. Palm Canyon Drive, Palm Springs, CA. Mr. Adams requested the Commission to release LAFCO 2005-52-4 from the requirement to have an agreement in place prior to recordation of such proposal to allow him to move forward instead of having to wait for the document to be signed. He said that he did everything he was asked to do to expedite the approval of the proposal.

Mr. Spiliotis stated that there was nothing in writing to present to the Commission; therefore, the Commission could not take action on this matter at this time. He also said that the Commission had no other option but to wait until the September hearing. He said that if information becomes available prior to the next meeting, an item will be placed on the agenda.

Mr. Rank stated that no action could be taken on this item because the matter in question was not placed on the agenda.

Mr. Adams requested the Commission to place the item on next month's agenda.

The Commission decided to take Item 4.e. at this time.

e. LAFCO 2005-107-1&3-Reorganization to Include Incorporation of Wildomar, Concurrent Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District, and Detachments from County Service Areas 22, 103 and 142 and Potential Sphere of Influence Amendments to the City of Lake Elsinore (**Continued from July 12, 2007**).

Mr. Spiliotis presented the proposal. He indicated that due to concerns regarding the impact of the timing, the Wal-Mart on feasibility, staff had directed the consultant to perform a sensitivity analysis. The analysis showed that the proposed city would be able to maintain a minimum 10 percent reserve through the projection period. He said that feasibility would be in question if the Wal-Mart was never implemented. He said that the end result of the CFA was that findings can be made that it was financially feasible and that it can provide services and maintain a reasonable reserve.

Mr. Spiliotis also stated that staff was also recommending an amendment to the sphere of influence of the City of Lake Elsinore by removing the single parcel on the south side of Malaga Road, west of Wildomar Road and east of Mission Trail.

Mr. Spiliotis stated that conditions outlined in the staff report including the Findings and Determinations, staff was recommending approval of the incorporation of Wildomar.

Ron Goldman, Planning Director for the County of Riverside. Mr. Goldman spoke regarding the Wal-mart Supercenter. He said that they had received a plot planning and zone changing application. He said they had send it to all affected agencies for comment and were expecting the application to come before the Planning Commission in about six months.

Chair Lowe asked if the officials of the Wal-mart had discussed construction of the site with the Planning Department. Mr. Goldman responded in the negative.

Commissioner Henderson asked whether Wal-mart was involved in the discussions or it was a developer just wanting to build said Wal-mart. Mr. Goldman responded that the plot plan called for a Wal-mart. Mr. Godlman stated that it was basically an approval for a shopping center.

Commissioner Buster commented that the developers were willing to cooperate and solve all the issues of concern prior to the construction of the Wal-mart. Commissioner Buster commented that although there were infrastructure costs beyond the norm, they were still willing to move forward with the project.

Gary Thompson, GST Consultant. Mr. Thompson stated that the CFA was completed under conservative estimating. Mr. Thompson summarized the methodology and findings of the CFA.

Chair Lowe asked who was going to pay for the parks for the next ten years. Mr. Thompson responded that the special assessment will transfer to the city which will offset the cost. He said that essentially the City will manage the parks using the same assessments. Chair Lowe asked if there was going to be any increases once the assessment was transferred, Mr. Thompson responded that there was going to be an increase once the last park was built. He said that there was no revenue in the report to reflect the increase.

Mr. Spiliotis stated that it was not included in the baseline CFA because it was not a service in the base year, 2005/2006.

Chair Lowe asked Mr. Thompson if city employees will be maintaining the parks or if the parks will be maintained through contracts. Mr. Thompson responded that the parks will be maintained in however way they were being maintained now. Mr. Thompson responded that the city might, at a later time, assume the responsibility of maintaining the parks.

Commissioner Williams asked if the city was going to have to go to Prop 218 vote for lighting and landscaping district assessment. Chairman Lowe responded that only when a new district is formed then it will go to a vote.

Mr. Spiliotis introduced Joan Kelly from BonTerra Consulting who gave a brief overview of the CEQA processing. Ms. Kelly stated that the resulting analysis indicated that the proposed incorporation had no significant environmental impacts. Therefore, a Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated during the month of March. She said that comment letters were received which were attached to the Commission's staff report. She said that responses were prepared and mailed in response to all the letters received.

Commissioner Lopez asked if the wastewater issue had been addressed. Mr. Spiliotis responded that there will be no change in service provider as far as water and wastewater was concerned.

Chair Lowe opened the public hearing.

The following persons spoke in favor of the incorporation of Wildomar

Sheryl L. Ade, 35255 Amatista Avenue, Wildomar, CA 92595. Ms. Ade spoke in favor of the incorporation proposal. Ms. Ade thanked everyone who was instrumental in helping the community of Wildomar to become a City. She said that their efforts and cooperation were very much appreciate it.

Rick Estes, President, Wildomar Chamber of Commerce, P. O. Box 1571, Wildomar, CA 92595. Mr. Estes spoke in favor of the Wildomar Incorporation.

Gary Andre, 31906 Grove Street, Wildomar, CA 92595. Mr. Andre spoke in favor of the incorporation of Wildomar.

Gary D. Brown, 32095 Gruwell Street, Wildomar, CA 92595. Mr. Brown spoke in favor of the incorporation of Wildomar.

Bob Cashman, 23630 Peggy Lane, Wildomar, CA 92595. Mr. Cashman spoke in favor of the incorporation of Wildomar.

John Guzman, 17540 Bodkin Avenue, Lakeland Village, CA 92530. Mr. Guzman spoke in favor of the incorporation of Wildomar.

The following persons spoke in opposition of the incorporation of Wildomar

Steve Beutz, Wildomar, CA 92595. Mr. Beutz spoke in opposition to the incorporation of Wildomar.

Martha L. Bridges, 35465 Woshka Lane, Wildomar, CA 92595. Ms. Bridges spoke in opposition of the incorporation of Wildomar.

Roger LeClerc, 32365 Mesa Drive, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530. Mr. LeClerc spoke in regard to a boundary problem.

Gerard Ste.Marie, 35622 Crest Meadow Drive, Wildomar, CA 92595. Mr. St.Marie spoke in opposition to the incorporation of Wildomar. He read from a letter dated August 23, 2007. Mr. Ste.Marie also addressed the possibility of submitting a reconsideration.

Chairman Lowe asked Mr. Rank to address the reconsideration issue that was raised. Mr. Rank stated that no action could be taken even if the incorporation was approved until the reconsideration period had passed.

REBUTTAL:

Sheryl L. Ade, 35255 Amatista Avenue, Wildomar, CA 92595. Ms. Ade stated that regarding the Wal-mart the developers had stepped up to the plate.

Chair Lowe closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Buster said that there was a close cooperation between the County and the emerging city and it had to be that way during the transition period. Commissioner Buster said that the opponent who invested \$25,000 in the State Controller's Review helped Wildomar to put it over the top. Commissioner Buster said that Wildomar had shown over and over that it was a strong community in many ways. He said that Wildomar had the civic spirit in its people that will not divide the community no matter what the vote will be. He commended everyone involved in the success of the incorporation of Wildomar.

Commissioner Henderson said that she believed in the process and the policies that are set to enforce the process. She said that she had her doubts about the financial success of the community; however, the final decision had to be made by the people who spoke today. She commented that it was going to be a very interesting campaign. Commissioner Henderson expressed her support to approve the incorporation of Wildomar.

Commissioner Williams said that without the residential there will be no commercial; therefore, the city will not receive the tax revenue that the city will need to pay for existing services or future services. He said that he was in full support of the approval process.

Chair Lowe said that she applauded the community for their tenacity. She said that they had proven that this was the greatest country in the world. She said that she shared her concern with Sheryl Ade and Rick Estes; however, she wanted to offer all the assistance that other cities can give them. She told them that if the incorporation is approved to not feel that they were alone; they had good neighbors in Riverside County that could offer the help they need. She asked them to take advantage of those resources.

Moved (Buster) seconded (Williams) to approve LAFCO 2005-107-1&3-Reorganization to Include Incorporation of Wildomar, Concurrent Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District, and Detachments from County Service Areas 22, 103 and 142 and Potential Sphere of Influence Amendments to the City of Lake Elsinore.

6/0

5. RECEIVE AND FILE:

- a. Information Items: Proposals Received (Government Code Section 56857, 56751):
- i. LAFCO 2007-55-5-Sphere of Influence Amendment (addition) to the City of Moreno Valley and the Moreno Valley Community Services District (subsidiary) and Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexations (Pigeon Pass) to the City of Moreno Valley and the Moreno Valley Community Services District (subsidiary) and Detachments from County Service Area 93 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District
 - ii. LAFCO 2007-56-1&5-Sphere of Influence Amendments to the Cities of Riverside (addition) and Moreno Valley (addition) and to the Moreno Valley Community Services District (subsidiary-addition) and Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexation 104 (Old Interstate 215 Right of Way) to the City of Riverside, Annexation to the City of Moreno Valley and the Moreno Valley Community Services District (subsidiary) and Detachments from County Service Area 93 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.
 - iii. LAFCO 2007-57-5-Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexation 111 (University City) to the City of Riverside and Detachments from County Service Area 93 and the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.
 - iv. LAFCO 2007-58-3-Annexation 82 to County Service Area 84.

- v. LAFCO 2007-59-1-Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexation 107 (Alta Cresta Remainder) to the City of Riverside and Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.
- vi. LAFCO 2007-60-1-Reorganization to Include Annexation 113 (Public Safety Facility) to the City of Riverside and Concurrent Detachment from the Riverside County Waste Resources Management District.

b. LAFCO Monthly Expenditure Review.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Williams) to receive and file the Information Items and the Monthly Expenditure Review report.

6/0

6. DESIGNATE VOTING DELEGATE FOR CALAFCO 2007 ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING.

Moved (Henderson) seconded (Lopez) to appoint the Executive Officer, George J. Spiliotis as the delegate to attend the CALAFCO 2007 Annual Business Meeting.

6/0

7. REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE PROCEEDINGS FOR LAFCO 2005-39-3-REORGANIZATION TO INCLUDE CONCURRENT ANNEXATIONS TO EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT AND THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (80TH FRINGE).

Moved (Buster) seconded (Henderson) to approve the Request for an Extension of Time to Complete Proceedings for LAFCO 2005-39-3-Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexations to Eastern Municipal Water District and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (80th Fringe).

6/0

8. REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE PROCEEDINGS FOR LAFCO 2005-38-3-REORGANIZATION TO INCLUDE CONCURRENT ANNEXATIONS TO EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT AND THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (82ND FRINGE).

Moved (Buster) seconded (Henderson) to approve the Request for an Extension of Time to Complete Proceedings for LAFCO 2005-38-3-Reorganization to Include Concurrent Annexations to Eastern Municipal Water District and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (82nd Fringe).

6/0

9. LAFCO 2007-43-5-CHERRY VALLEY UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY-REVIEW OF FIVE-YEAR REPORT.

The Commission received and filed the Cherry Valley Unincorporated Community-Review of Five-Year Report.

10. INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06.

Mr. Spiliotis presented the report and stated that in the process of planning and preparing the audit, Moreland had noted four items related to internal controls that needed to be addressed. He said that the items were discussed in the management letter along with staff's response. He said that the four items were related to the Commission's investment policy, cash receipts, payroll, and the cash disbursements (purchase approval documentation). He said that staff had already instituted procedural changes related to the first three items and recommended refining the Commission policy on the fourth item. He asked the Commission to direct the Executive Officer to meet with the Administrative Review Committee and return to the Commission with a recommendation.

The Commission received and filed the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2005-06 and directed the Executive Officer to meet with the Administrative Review Committee to review the purchase approval policy and return to the Commission with a recommendation.

The Commission took item 13. once again, at this time.

13. PUBLIC COMMENTS.

G. A. Grant, 27068 Jarvis Street, Perris, CA 92750. Mr. Grant discussed the bonded indebtedness of the City of Lake Elsinore and how the bonded indebtedness was going to affect the new residents who will move into the area.

12. MISCELLANEOUS STAFF REPORT.

There were no miscellaneous staff reports.

The Commission recessed to Executive Session at 11:40 a.m.

11. EXECUTIVE SESSION:

With respect to every item of business to be discussed in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL- EXISTING LITIGATION.

Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9:

- a. **Center for Biological Diversity, et al. v. LAFCO, Riverside County Local Agency Formation Commission, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. INC067568.**

The Commission reconvened at 11:53 a.m.

Mr. Rank stated that there were no reportable actions at this time.

10. ADJOURNMENT.

The Commission adjourned at 11:54 a.m.

Respectfully,

George J. Spiliotis
Executive Officer